REVIEW ARTICLES

AMERICAN CUTANEOUS LEISHMANIASIS IN THE STATE OF SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL - EPIDEMIOLOGY IN TRANSFORMATION

Joao Pupo Nogueira Neto, Gisele Basso, Ana Paula Cipoli, Laila El Kadre

Department of Dermatology of the Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

Pupo Nogueira Neto J, Basso G, Cipoli A, El Kadre L: American cutaneous leishmaniasis in the State of São Paulo, Brazil - epidemiology in transformation. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 1998, **5**, 1-5.

Abstract: American cutaneous leishmaniasis, a disease of great worldwide importance, especially on the American continent, has had its epidemological modifications. These are revised and discussed in view of the current situation of recent increase in its incidence in regions with low morbidity since 1950, where no apparent cause that would justify an epidemic outbreak could be found. We analysed the causes of these alterations and the profile now seen in the State of São Paulo, Brazil.

Address for correspondence: Dr Joao Pupo Nogueira Neto, Rua Maria Monteiro 1572, POBox 13025-152, Cambui, Campinas - SP, Brazil.

Key words: tropical skin diseases, leishmamasis, Leishmania spp., Brazil, epidemiology.

INTRODUCTION

American cutaneous leishmaniasis is an anthropozoonosis caused by a protozoan of the genus *Leishmania*, whose vector is an insect *Phlebotomus* [19].

It afflicts man and different species of wild and domestic animals in hot, underdeveloped regions of the Old and New World [29].

It is characterized by the parasitisation of the cells of the mononuclear phagocytic system of the vertebrate host and by cutaneous lesions arising at the point of the parasite's innoculation, possibly developing into mucosa lesions after dissemination [29].

The importance of leishmaniasis relies on its high incidence. The sickness can show various severity in particular cases and can lead to severe permanent mutilation in thousands of people every year around the world, with repercussions in the public health of many nations [19].

At present in Brazil the disease presents two characteristic epidemiological patterns: epidemic outbreaks associated with deforestation for the construction of highways and access to villages in pioneer regions. This pattern is characterized by zoonosis of wild animals, which attack anyone who comes into contact with zoonotic foci, which is the pattern initially described. The second pattern is leishmaniasis in old colonial regions, not associated with deforestation, where dogs, horses and rodents seem to play an important role as reservoirs of the parasite [34].

This paper attempts to show the current situation of this illness in Brazil, specifically in the State of São Paulo.

HISTORIC

The first researcher to observe the parasites belonging to the genus *Leishmania* in cases of visceral leishmaniasis was Wright, in 1885 in India [51]. After that, in 1898, Borovsky, the Russian scientist, described in detail these parasites in cases of cutaneous leishmaniasis, but he did not name them [24]. In 1903 they were observed by Leishman, who attributed to them the etiology of the Indian disease kala-azar [8].

It is accepted that cutaneous leishmaniasis is an autochtonous disease of the American continent [8]. In Peru, the pre-columbian indians sculptered these destructive lesions, especially on the nostrils and upper lips on ceramics with human shapes called huacos, that when analysed with our current knowledge leave no doubt as to



Figure 1. Environment classically described as endemic for leishmaniasis (by courtesy of the Secretaria de Saúde do Estado de São Paulo).

its leishmaniatic nature [39]. Already in 1908, Escomel indicated the great similarity existing between the physiognomy presented by the huaco and people afflicted with cutaneous leishmaniasis [14].

In Brazil in 1895, Moreira observed the existence of this illness, clinically identifying it with Biskra's nodule [35].



Figure 2. City of Campinas, State of São Paulo, Brazil, with autochtonous cases of leishmaniasis described in recent years.



Figure 3. *Phlebotomus (Lutzomia)*. Species involved in the transmission in our region (by courtesy of the Secretaria de Saúde do Estado de São Paulo).

In Italy in 1895, Breda described the disease in Italians who had returned from São Paulo to their homeland [8]. In 1908, in the Santa Casa de São Paulo a great number of sick people with leishmaniasis appeared [36]. The disease received various denominations (Bauru ulcer, sharp wound, Northeast wound) without the etiological cause being known [36]. Then, on 30 March 1909, Adolfo Lindenberg announced the discovery of the leishmaniasis parasite [27, 28]. In 1911, Pedroso and Dias da Silva, using the Neal, Nory and Nicolle medium, obtained *Leishmania* cultures from material from Bauru ulcers [37].

The idea that cutaneous leishmaniasis was transmitted by man-biting insects of the genus *Phlebotomus* was suggested for the first time in 1905 by Sergent *et al.* [43]. In 1922 in Brazil, Aragão succeeded in reproducing ulceration in a dog by injecting squashed infected insects [5, 34].

Leishmania brasiliensis was experimentally inoculated in man by Montenegro in 1923 and later by Herrer and Batistini in 1951 [36]. Based upon these studies, Montenegro introduced in 1926 the intradermal test, currently still in use for the diagnosis of leishmaniasis [34, 36].

As classically described by Rey in 1973, leishmaniasis is a wild animal zoonosis, especially affecting rodents, transmission of which depends on *Phlebotomus* spp. living in primitive tropical forests (Fig. 1) [36].

Lately, however, leishmaniasis in Brazil has presented certain epidemological aspects that are distinct from the classical concept, with the appearance of endemic foci apparently not connected to the forest. This pattern is observed by the Secretary of Health in our region (Fig. 2).

NATURAL HISTORY OF THE DISEASE

The transmission occurs through bites of various insect species of *Phlebotomus* sensu lato belonging to different genera (*Psychodopygus, Lutzomia*), depending on the geographical localization (Fig. 3) [34]. These small insects, measuring from 2 to 3 mm, take cover during the day in humid and dark hiding places [29]. They start their activities at nightfall, and only the female is hematophagous [42]. Forest species bite also during the day when disturbed by



Figure 4. Leishmaniatic ulcer on the nose with edges in frame and granulated background.

man, and peridomestic species such as *Lutzomia intermedia* can penetrate houses [29].

Human leishmaniasis is evoked by promastigote forms of the parasite transmitted by the bite of *Phlebotomus* to uncovered parts of the skin [36]. After the incubation phase, which can last from 1 to 12 months, the parasites multiply in the form of amastigotes. The culmination of this process is the appearance of the leishmaniatic lesions (Figures 4–5) [34].

EPIDEMIOLOGY

The importance of leishmaniasis in the world increases. In 1989, WHO [50] estimated that 350 million people were exposed to the risk of acquiring the disease, and 12 million people were infected in 1992. This places leishmaniasis as one of the six most important infectoparasitary diseases in the world.

Its importance in America is great, the area of appearance of the illness extending from the south of the USA down to the north of Argentina [42]. In Brazil, cases have been noted in practically all the States. In recent years, the Ministry of Health has registered an average of



Figure 5. Leishmaniatic lesion on the thigh.

42% HORTH

Figure 6. Geographical distribution of leishmaniasis in Brazil. Areas with higher frequency of cases and proportion of occurrence are indicated by region.

25,000 new cases of American cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis each year, thus the disease in this area presents the highest prevalence in the world (Fig. 6) [23].

After a general reduction in the occurrence of the different forms of leishmaniasis in the 1950's, the number of registrations has been progressively growing in the last 20 years. Epidemic outbreaks can be observed in the south-east region in which the State of São Paulo is located [34].

The epidemiologic analysis of the incidence of American cutaneous leishmaniasis in various decades reveals the predominantly endemic nature of the disease [19]. Special circumstances that have driven a large human contingency to come into contact with virgin forests have led to the above quoted outbreaks [19]. The natural history of this parasitosis in Brazil has registered in numerous events of this kind, which first occurred some time ago, when the Atlantic Forest (Mata Atlântica) in the south-east region was extensively destroyed (Fig. 7). Nowadays, this scenario is being repeated in a more expressive form with the destruction of the Amazon Forest, but occurs on a smaller scale over the rest of the country, due to the destruction of the residual forest (Mata) [6, 12, 18, 49].

The environmental alterations that arise from the devastation of forests has shown that the species *Leishmania amazonensis* and *L. brasiliensis* are apt to survive in an alternative environment [17, 26]. The first species presents a growing distribution in the country [10]. However, as it has a vector with zoophilic characteristics, it hardly signifies an real risk to humans [19]. On the other hand, *L. brasiliensis* has great ecological importance, made evident by its vast distribution in Brazil and the Americas, in areas of ancient colonization where there was great deforestation, thus beginning to bear distinct epidemiological characteristics in the course of



Figure 7. The advance of deforestation in the State of São Paulo. Modified from Victor, 1975. A. Forest covering in the State of São Paulo at the beginning of the century; B. the same area in the 50's - fall in the incidence of leishmaniasis; C. Current situation.

time according to the distribution of the different species of *Phlebotomus* involved in the transmission [25]. In the 1930's and 1940's, during the process of colonization of the south and south-east regions, the transmission was associated with vectors *Lutzomia whitmani*, *Lu. pessoai and Lu. mingonei*, of wildlife behaviour [34].

Nowadays, the responsible vector is *Lu. intermedia*, which is found to be associated with the endemic coastal areas, and with the valleys of great rivers of the interior of the country [20, 22] where *Phlebotomus* is found in shelters of domestic animals and surrounding habitations [1, 9, 12, 15, 21, 26, 30, 45, 46, 47]. This occurs because there persists a rigid environmental law which does not allow the deforestation of the banks of rivers in order to avoid obstruction.

The adaptation of *Lu. intermedia* to altered ecosystems is mainly observed when close to forested areas, its presence in forests being quite rare [2, 22].

Up until the present moment, no wild animal has been pointed out as a reservoir of *L. brasiliensis* [29]. However, the encounter of various domestic species that are carriers of the parasite such as dogs, horses and mules is frequent in various Brazilian States [3, 4, 10, 13, 15, 16, 30, 31, 32, 33, 38, 40, 41, 49, 52].

In areas in which *Lu. intermedia* prevails, the endemic has lost its characteristic forest transmission, is not related to occupational activities, and occurs in individuals of both sexes [23] and all ages [19].

In areas where *L. brasiliensis* is present, children and women, as well as domestic dogs, are frequently afflicted, reflecting the dominant character of domestic transmissions [34]. The afflicted population is generally of a low social and economic level [7, 33].

Dogs and horses are the only animals that reproduce an infection that resembles the human disease, and respond to treatment with antimonials [30, 38].

FINAL COMMENTS

American cutaneous leishmaniasis, once considered to be practically eradicated from places of ancient colonization, has again taken on an endemic nature due to the adaptation of the infectious agents and vectors to environments greatly altered by man. Leishmaniasis must still be remembered as one of the most important infecto-contagious pathologies in the worldwide public health. It is worthwhile remembering that its control is neither difficult nor expensive, therefore the outbreaks of leishmaniasis and their consequences which still occur in our region - supposedly the richest and most developed in Brazil - cannot be justified.

REFERENCES

1. Aguiar GM, Vilela ML, Lima RB: Ecology of the sandflies of Rio de Janeiro - food preferences (*Diptera, Psychodidae, Phlebotominae*). *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 1987, **82**, 583-584.

2. Aguiar GM, Santos JLP, Galatti EAP: Ecologia dos flebótomos em um recente foco ativo de leishmaniose tegumentar no norte do Estado do Paraná (*Diptera, Psychodidae, Phlebotominae*). *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 1989, **84 (Supl IV**), 7-8.

3. Aguiar GM, Rangel EF, et al.: Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis associated with domestic animals in Venezuela and Brazil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 1989, 84, 19-28.

4. Alencar JE: Um caso de leishmaniose tegumentar em Equus assinus. Annais do 16°. Congr Bras Hig. Niterói-Brasil (manuscript).

5. Aragão HB: Transmissão da leishmaniose no brasil pelo *Phlebotomus intermedius*. *Brazil Med* 1922, **36**, 129-130.

6. Aragão MB, Lima LC: Sobre a dispersão da *L. intermedia.* (Diptera, Psychodidae) Cad Saúde Publ 1987, **4**, 473-479.

7. Araújo Filho MB, Coura JR: Leishmaniose tegumentar americana na Ilha Grande, Rio de Janeiro. 1. Investigação epidemiológica, clínica e laboratorial. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* 1981, **14**, 135-143.

8. Azulay RB: Leishmaniose Tegumentar. Rio de Janeiro 1952.

9. Barbosa-Santos, EGA, Marzochi, MCA et al.: Inquérito epidemiológico e entomológico em área endemica de leishmaniose cutânea no Rio de Janeiro (Pacuí-Jacarepaguá). Rev Bras Med Trop 1988, 21 (Suppl), 81-82.

10. Barreto AC, Cuba CC, *et al.*: Características epidemiológicas da leishmaniose tegumentar americana, em região endemica do Estado da Bahia, Brasil. 11. Leishmaniose canina. *Rev Soc Med Trop* 1984, **17**, 59-65.

11. Borgonovi M, Chiarini JV, Amaral AZ, Souza Coelho AG, de Oliveira D: De Cobertura vegetal do Estado de São Paulo. 1: Levantamento por fotointerpretação das áreas cobertas com cerrado, cerradão, e campo, em 1962. Bragantia Campinas 1965, **14**, 159-179.

12. Coutinho SG, Marzochi MCA, et al. Leishmaniose tegumentar americana. J Bras Med 1981, 41, 104-118.

13. Dias M, Mayrink W, *et al.*: Endemologia da leishmaniose tegumentar americana. 1. Estudo de reservatórios do Estado de Minas Gerais. *Rev Inst Med Trop* 1977, **19**, 403-410.

14. Escomel E: La leishmaniose américaine et les leishmanioses en amériques. Bull Soc Path Exot 1929, 22, 35-46.

15. Falqueto A, Coura JR, *et al.*: Participação do cão no ciclo de transmissão de leishmaniose tegumentar no município de Viana, Espírito Santo. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 1986, **81**, 155-163.

16. Falqueto A, Varejão JBM, Sessa PA: Cutaneous leishmanioses in a horse from endemic area in the State of Espírito Santo, Brazil *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 1987, **82**, 443.

17. Forratini OP: Entomologia Médica. Edgard Blucher, USP, São Paulo 1973.

18. Forratini OP, Pattoli DBG, *et al.*: Infecção natural de flebotomíneos em foco enzoótico de leishmaniose tegumentar no Estado de São Paulo. *Rev Saúde Publ* 1972, **6**, 431-433.

19. Gomes AC: Perfil epidemiológico da leishmaniose tegumentar no Brasil. An Bras Dermatol 1992, **67**(Suppl 2), 55-60.

20. Gomes AC, Barata JMS, *et al.*: Aspectos ecológicos da leishmaniose tegumentar americana. 6. Fauna flebotomínica antropofilica em ambiente florestal primário da Serra do Mar, região do Vale do Ribeira, Estado de São Paulo- Brasil. *Rev Saúde Publ* 1989, **23**, 89-97.

21. Gomes AC, Galatti EAB: Aspectos ecológicos da leishmaniose tegumentar. 7. Capacidade vetorial flebotomínica em ambiente florestal primário da Serra do Mar, região do Vale do Ribeira, Estado de São Paulo-Brasil. *Rev Saúde Publ* 1989, **23**, 89-97.

22. Gomes AC, Santos JLF, Galatti EAB: Ecological aspects of american cutaneous leishmaniasis-observations on the endophilic behaviour of the sandfly and the vectorial role of *Psychodopigus intermedius* in the Ribeira Valley region of the São Paulo State, Brazil. *Rev Saúde Publ* 1986, **20**, 280-287.

23. Grimaldi G, Tesh RB, Pratt DM: A rewiew of the geographic distribution and epidemiology of leishmaniasis in the new world. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1989, **41**, 687-725.

24. Hoare CA. Early discoveries redarding the parasite of oriental sore. *Trans Roy Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1938, **32**, 67-92.

25. Jones TC, Johnson Jr AC, *et al.* Epidemiology of american cutaneous leishmaniasis due to *Leishmania braziliensis braziliensis*. J Inf Dis 1987, **153**, 73-83

26. Laison R: Demographic changes and their influence on the epidemiology of the American leishmaniasis. **In:** *Demography and Vector - borne Diseases.* CRC Boca Raton, Florida 1989.

27. Lindenberg A: A úlcera de bauru e seu micróbio. Comunicação preventiva. *Rev Med S Paulo* 1909, **12**, 166-120.

28. Lindenberg A: L'ulcére de bauru au le bouton d'orient au Brésil. Bull Soc Path Exot 1909, 2, 252-254.

29. Marzochi MCA: As leishmanioses no Brasil- as leishmanioses tegumentares. *J Bras Med* 1992, **63**, 82-89.

30. Mazorchi MCA, Barbosa-Santos EGO, *et al.*: Forma mucocutânea e disseminada da infecção natural por *Leishmania (v.) braziliensis* em uma égua (*Equus caballus*). 11. aspectos clínicos, imunopatológicos e terapêuticos. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* 1991, **24 (Suppl II)**, 99.

31. Marzochi MCA, Souza WJS, *et al.*: Evaluation of diagnostic criteria in human, canine and equine (*Equus caballus*) leishmaniasis due to *Leishmania braziliensis braziliensis* in the south west region of São Paulo State, Brazil. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 1990, **85**, 133-134.

32. Mayrink W, Willians P, *et al.*: Epidemiology of dermal leishmaniasis in the Rio Doce Valley, State of Minas Gerais, Brazil *Ann Trop Med Paras* 1979, **73**, 124-137.

33. Menezes JÁ, Reis VLL, Vasconcelos JÁ: Pequeno surto de leishmaniose tegumentar americana em Macuco (Cordeiro-RJ). *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* 1974, **8**, 133-151.

34. Ministério da SaúDe: Guia de Controle da Leishmaniose Tegumentar Americana. Brasília 1991.

35. Moreira J: Existe na Bahia o botão de biskra. Gaz Med Bahia 1895, 254.

36. Pessoa SB, Martins AV: Parasitologia Médica, 1988.

37. Pedroso AE, Silva PD: Botão do oriente (leishmaniose ulcerosa). cultura da *Leishmania tropica (Leishmania wrightii). Arch Soc Med & Cir São Paulo* 1911, **1**, 305, 314.

38. Pirmez C, Coutinho SG, *et al.*: Canine American cutaneous leihmaniasis: a clinical and imunological study in dogs naturally infected with leishmania of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1988, **38**, 52-58.

39. Rabello E: Contribuições ao estudo da leishmaniose tegumentar no Brasil 1. Origens, históricos, sinonímia. *Ann Bras Dermat Sif* 1925, 1, 3-29.

40. Sallenave SL, Valim C, *et al.*: Utilização de sondas específicas de dna cinetoplástica na detecção de leishmania em hospedeiros vertebrados e invertebrados. *Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo* 1991, **33(Suppl)**, 2.

41. Santos GLP, Lima RMS, et al.: Estudo parasitológico de fauna murina em área de ocorrencia de leishmaniose tegumentar americana em município da região serrana do Estado do Rio de Janeiro. In: Anais do 24°. Congresso da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical, Natal, RN 1990, 238-239.

42. Secretaria de Estado da Saude: Manual de Vigilância Epidemiológica Sobre Leishmaniose Tegumentar Americana - Normas e Instruções. São Paulo 1995.

43. Sergent ED, Sergent ET, Parrot L, Donatien A, Beguel M: Transmission du clou debiskra par le phlébotome (*Phlebotomus papatasil scop*). C R Acad Sci 1921, **173**, 1030-1032.

44. Souza MB, Marzochi MCA, Conceição NF: Estudo preliminar da fauna flebotomínica da Ilha do Araújo, Município de Paraty, Rio de Janeiro. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* 1991, **24**(Suppl), 106.

45. Souza MB, Marzochi MCA, *et al.*: Estudo da fauna flebotomínica no município de Santana do Deserto - Sossego, MG. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* 1991, **24(Suppl II)**, 105.

46. Tolezano JE, Araujo MFL, *et al.*: Leishmaniose tegumentar americana no município de São Roque, Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. *Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo* 1991, **81 (Suppl 33)**, 55.

47. Tolezano JE, Marcoris SA, Dinis JMP: Modificação na epidemiologia da leishmaniose tegumentar americana no Vale do Ribeira, Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. *Rev Saude Publ* 1989, **23**, 89-97.

48. Venexat JÁ, Barreto AC, et al.: Infecção natural de Equus assinus por Leishmania braziliensis braziliensis na Bahia, Brasil Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 1986, **81**, 237-238.

49. Victor MAM: A *Devastação Florestal*. Sociedade Brasileira de Sivicultura, São Paulo 1975.

50. World Health Organization: Expert Committe on the Control of the Leishmaniasis. Technical Report Series, 793, Geneva, 1989.

51. Wright JM. Protozoa in a case of tropical ulcer. J Cut Dis 1904, 22, 1-9.

52. Yoshida ELA, Correa FMA, *et al.*: Human, canine and equine (*Equus caballus*) leishmaniasis due to in the south west region of São Paulo State, Brazil. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 1990, **85**, 133-134.